Network Flows (cont'd) 10.30 Network Flow via flow augmenting parts can be exponential time if we are not discriminating in selecting our flow augmenting path, as the fullowing example illustrates:



n=4 m=5 vertices edges

input size: "bad choices" running time:

Shortest Augmenting Paths
Choose the flow-augmenting path with the smallest number of edges
Euse BFS on residual graph in a smart way i.e. either update the graph to show backwards edges or allow backwards traversal of flow-positive edges



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{ Lemma 10.2 (Enickson's text) } & |evel_i(v) \ge |evel_{i-1}(v) \\ \forall v \in V, \quad \forall i > 0 \end{array}$ 

Proof of Lemma 10.2 Fix a vertex V. We will show level:  $(v) \ge |evel_{i-1}(v) \lor i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ by Induction .. level (i.e. dist from s, in edges) Basis: The only node at level O is S, and it is always at level O. Fix a level l>0 Our claim is that I nodes at level & (at any i) then in the previous iteration they were at level l or less Now consider a vertex of that is at level l at iteration 2. Note: V75 Also, if  $|evel_i(v) = 00$ , claim is the So we only need consider the case where in G: I a shortest path  $S \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ u is at a level < l  $\hat{o}$  level; (v) = |evel; (u) + |and level:  $(u) \ge level_{i-1}(u)$ 

Need to show tevel: 
$$(u) \ge |evel_{i-1}(v) - |$$
  
 $|evel_{i-1}(u) + i \ge |evel_{i-1}(v)$   
 $- iF \quad u \ge v \quad is an edge in G_{i-1}$   
then  $|evel_{i-1}(v) \le |evel_{i-1}(u) + i - done$   
 $- iF \quad u \ge v \quad is not \quad an edge \quad in G_{i-1}$   
 $\Rightarrow v \ge u \quad is \quad an edge \quad in \quad i^{th} \quad aug. path$ 

$$\Rightarrow v \rightarrow u \text{ is an edge in } i^{\text{th}} aug. path$$
  
By deft, that is on shorkest path  
from  $s \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v \rightarrow u \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow t$   
in  $G_{i-1}$   
 $\therefore$  level  $i-1$  (v) = level  $i-1$  (u) -1  
 $\leq level i-1$  (u) +1

Lemma 10.3 During the execution of "Edmonds Karp"  
shortest flow-augmenting path alg, each edge  
$$u \rightarrow v$$
 disappears from residual graph  
at most  $\frac{n}{2}$  times  $(n = |V|)$ 

- Proof: Suppose und is in G; and Gitt but not in Gitt, ", Gj
- $\Rightarrow u \Rightarrow v \in \text{the it any path, level}(v) = |evel_i(u)+1$ and  $v \Rightarrow u \in \text{the jt} ang path, level_i(v) = |evel_i(u)-1$  $\circ \circ by \text{ Jemma 10.2, level}(u) = |evel_i(v)+1 \ge |evel_i(v)+1$  $= |evel_i(u)+2.$
- oo in between disappearence and reappearance of  $u \rightarrow v$ , distance from S to u has there as ed by ≥ 2. All levels are < n (or infinite), So can disappear/reappear <  $\frac{n}{2}$  times,  $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{2}}$

Now we can prove our theorem.  
In run of Shortest Augmenting Paths  
- I at most 
$$m \cdot \frac{n}{2}$$
 edge disappearances

 $- \ge 1 \quad \text{edge disappears at each iteration (WHY?)}$ oo there are  $\le m \circ n$  iterations each iteration runs in O(m) $\approx running time is <math>O(n m^2)$ 

Very active area of research.

with Dynamic Trees Direct Technique O(nm lgn)  $O(n^2 m)$ Blocking Flow O(nmlgn) Network  $O(n^2 m)$ Simplex Push- $O(n^2 m)$ relabel Push - $O(nmlg(\frac{n^2}{m}))$  $D(n^3)$ Relabel (FIFO)

Push-  
relabel
$$O(n^2 Jm)$$
 $-$ Push-  
Relabel-  
Add Games $O(n m log_m/n log_n m)$ Pseudo flow $O(n^2 m)$  $O(n m log_n)$ Pseudo flow $O(n^2 m)$  $O(n m log(n^2/m))$ Recudo flow $O(n^3)$  $O(n m log(n^2/m))$ Recudo flow $O(n^2 m)$  $O(n m log(n^2/m))$ Incremental  
BFS $O(n^2 m)$  $O(n m log(n^2/m))$ Compact  
Nictworks $O(n m)$ Shortert  
Aug-Path $O(n m^2)$