

Chapter 7. Time Complexity.


To get the time complexity of an algorithm that solves a problem (recognizes a language), we analyze the algorithm. Say $O(2^n)$

What does that say about the inherent hardness of the problem (language)? That it is "no harder" than $O(2^n)$ to solve.

... but maybe there is an n^5 algorithm, we just haven't found it yet.

We want to explore the complexity or hardness of the problems themselves. An alg that decides it just gives an upper bound.

Eg $\text{sort}(n)$



Defn 7.1 Let M be a det-TM that halts on all input
The running time or time complexity of M is a function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
where $f(n)$ is the max # of steps that M takes on inputs of size n . Customarily n is the size of the input.
We call M a $O(f(n))$ -TM

Defn 7.2 f, g functions, $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) \in O(g(n))$

if $\exists$ positive numbers C, N_0 such that

$$f(n) \leq C \cdot g(n) \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

$g(n)$ is called an asymptotic upper bound for $f(n)$.

Is O transitive? $f(n) \in O(g(n)) \in O(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) \in O(h(n))$.

Defn 7.5 f, g functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

$$f(n) \in o(g(n)) \text{ iff } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0.$$

$f(n)$ is
← a slower
growing
function.

Defn 7.7 $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ = collection of all languages decidable
by a $O(t(n))$ -TM.

Eg $A = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$.

0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Clearly A is in $\text{TIME}(n^2)$

Alg 1: 1. Scan and reject if $\exists$ 0's after 1's. $\} O(n)$

2. Repeat while $\exists$ both 0 and 1 on tape: $\} O(n^2)$
2.1 X-out leftmost 0, leftmost 1 $\} O(n)$

3. If there are 0's or 1's left, REJECT. $\} O(n)$
If there are neither, ACCEPT."

Running time is $O(n^2)$

ie A does not require more than $C \cdot n^2$ time
to recognize... but can it be done in less time?

Alg 2: "1. Scan L to R checking that no 0 follows a 1.

2. If $\nexists$ 0's and $\nexists$ 1's on tape, ACCEPT

2.1 Check that

$$\text{parity of } \#_0(\text{tape}) = \text{parity of } \#_1(\text{tape})$$

as you would using a DFA;

if not equal parity, REJECT.

2.2 X-out every 2nd 0
X-out every 2nd 1.
Go to 2"

Alg 2 makes $O(\lg n)$ passes over contents of tape.

Occupied length is $2n$

$\therefore$ running time is $O(n \log n)$.