

E_{TM} is undecidable

$E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts no strings} \}$

Claim: E_{TM} is undecidable.

Proof: BWOC. $\nexists E_{TM}$ is decidable, and let X be a TM that decides it.

Then we can construct a TM Y that decides A_{TM} as follows:

$Y =$ "on input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM, and w a string

1. Construct a TM-description $\langle M_w \rangle$, where

$M_w =$ "on input $\langle s \rangle$, where s is a string

1. Erase $\langle s \rangle$, and write $\langle w \rangle$ instead
on input tape.

2. Run M on the input. // i.e, on $\langle w \rangle$

2. Run X on input $\langle M_w \rangle$.

- if X accepts, REJECT

- if X rejects, ACCEPT."

Note that all the instructions besides running X are do-able by a TM (and for notes on whether a TM can construct $\langle M_w \rangle$ if it is given $\langle M, w \rangle$, see another note in weekbyweek on the subject).

So, since X is a decider, so is .

What kind of TM is M_w ?

If M accepts w then M_w accepts all strings s .

ie $M_w \notin E_{TM}$, and Y accepts $\langle M, w \rangle$

If M does not accept w then M_w accepts no strings, .

ie $M_w \in E_{TM}$, and $\langle M, w \rangle$

So Y accepts $\langle M, w \rangle$ exactly if M accepts w , and

Y rejects $\langle M, w \rangle$ exactly if M does not accept w .

◦ Y decides A_{TM} .

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ [A_{TM} is known to be undecidable].

◦ Y cannot exist, and E_{TM} is undecidable. \square