

Back to grammars for a bit...

Chomsky Normal Form

Defn 2.8 A context-free grammar is in CNF (Chomsky Normal Form) if every rule is of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{BC} \\ \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{\sigma} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A, B, C \in V, \quad B \neq S, \quad C \neq S \\ \sigma \in \Sigma \end{array}$$

Also allowed is the rule $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ (S is start)

Theorem 2.8 Any CFG can be put into CNF

Proof Sketch:

1. Do we really need ~~$A \rightarrow \epsilon$~~ ?

We can, for each rule like this

$B \rightarrow \sim A \dots$ add the rule

$B \rightarrow \sim \dots$

↑ it's as if A went to ϵ within the rule.

The only " $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ " rule we can't simulate by replacing A with ϵ is all our rules (possibly doubling the number of rules)

is $S \rightarrow \epsilon$, and we can keep that rule.

2. Do we need to have rules like

~~$A \rightarrow B$~~

$B \rightarrow \underline{\text{nm}}$ add $A \rightarrow \underline{\text{nm}}$
 $B \rightarrow \text{oooo}$ $A \rightarrow \text{oooo}$

3. Do we need to have rules like

$A \rightarrow BCD \cdot \overset{X_1}{\text{Z}}X$
can be terminals
or variables.

$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} A \rightarrow BX_1 \\ X_1 \rightarrow CX_2 \\ X_2 \rightarrow DX_3 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \rightarrow ZX \end{array} \right\}$



You can convert any CFG into CNF.

We'll do a small one here:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 S \rightarrow \underline{AXA} \mid \underline{\cancel{X}bb} \\
 \underline{X \rightarrow Xc} \mid \underline{\epsilon} \\
 A \rightarrow bA \mid \epsilon
 \end{array}
 \Rightarrow
 \begin{array}{l}
 S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid \underline{AX_A} \mid \underline{XA} \mid \\
 \underline{AX} \mid \underline{AA} \mid \underline{XB_b} \mid \\
 \underline{BB}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 X_A \rightarrow XA \\
 X \rightarrow \underline{Xc} \mid c \\
 c \rightarrow c \\
 A \rightarrow BA \mid \underline{b} \\
 \underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{b} \\
 \underline{B_b} \rightarrow \underline{BB}
 \end{array}$$

Do you have to know how to convert to CNF form for the test?

No, but you should know that any CFG can be converted to CNF.

Lets learn a CFG trick that might be on the test ...

$$\{ a^n \cdot b^m \mid 3n = 2m + 1 \}$$

ab
 $aaa bbbb$
 $aaaaa bbbbbb$

$2m+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$
 $2m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$
 $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

$$S \rightarrow ab \mid aaSbbb$$

$$n=1 \quad m=1$$

$a's$ $\div 3$ \leftarrow n	$2m+1$	$b's$ m
1	3	1
2	3	4
2	5	7
2	7	10

Homework Problems:

Give a CFG for each language below:

1. BalDelim = $\{ w \mid w \text{ is a string of delimiters } (,), [,], \{, \}, \text{ that are properly balanced} \}$.

2. $\{ a^n b^m \mid 2m = 3n + 1 \}$

3. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \#_a(w) = 2 \cdot \#_b(w) \}$

* 4. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R \}$

5. $\{ a^i b^j \mid i \neq j \quad i, j \geq 0 \}$

6. $\{ a^i b^j c^k \mid i \neq j \text{ or } j \neq k \}$

4. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R \}$

$S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon$

1. $(\{ \}$ $\{ \}$ $[]$

Elaine Rich's questions

1. Briefly describe these languages:

a) $(b+ba)(b+a)^*(ab+b)$

b) $((a^*b^*)^*ab) + ((a^*b^*)^*ba)(b+a)^*$

2. r.e.s for: $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

a) $\forall \underline{a}$ is immediately followed by \underline{b}

b) does not end in ba

c) (is nonsense)

$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

d) binary encodings, no leading 0's, of ints divisible by 4.

e) " " that are powers of 4.

g) has 001 as substring.

2. $\{a^n b^m : 2m = 3n + 1\}$.

$$2. \{a^n b^m \mid 2m = 3n + 1\}$$

$$6. \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i \neq j \text{ or } j \neq k\}$$