CFGs continued.

We often give grammars just as a set of rules vaniables appear on Lt15, terminals appear only on RHS. Start variable must be identified.



ataxa is ambiguous.

Def 2.7 A string w is derived ambiguously in CFG G if it has 2 or more different leftmost derivations (derivation thee). G is ambiguous if it generates some string ambiguously.

Sometimes we can remove the ambiguity - find a new grammar that generates the same language



Can every ambiguous CFG be disambiguated? No. See below. later. Defn: A language is context-free (is a CFL) if Z a CFG for it. Designing CFGis. Theorem: The class CFL is closed under U.

Proof: by construction. $R_1 \subseteq G_1$ $R_2 \subseteq S_2 \Rightarrow \cdots$ New grammar $G_1: \int S \rightarrow S_1 \subseteq S_2$ $R_1 \subseteq S_1 \Rightarrow \cdots$ $R_2 \subseteq S_2 \Rightarrow \cdots$ $R_1 \subseteq S_2 \Rightarrow \cdots$ $R_2 \subseteq S_2 \equiv \cdots$ $R_2 \subseteq S_2 \equiv \cdots$

Theorem: The Class CFL is closed under concat. Proof: Exercise,

Exercise Argue that the following language is inherently ambiguous: Zabck either i=j or j=K or both B.

$$L_{1} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{120}, \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{200} \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{200} \frac$$

where S is the start variable.

Eq.
$$S \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid E$$
 $A \rightarrow a$
 $B \rightarrow b$
 $E \rightarrow a E b$
 $E \rightarrow A B$
 V
 $S \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid XB \mid AB$
 $X \rightarrow AE$ $U \times is ``almost`' same # cis as bis$
 $E \rightarrow XB$
 $E \rightarrow XB$
 $E \rightarrow AB$.
 $V = is a^{2}b^{2}, n \ge 1$

Theorem: & CFL has a CNF Grammar that generates if.