

Back to grammars for a bit...

Chomsky Normal Form

Defⁿ 2.8 A context-free grammar is in CNF (Chomsky Normal Form) if every rule is of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{BC} \\ \underline{A} \rightarrow \underline{\sigma} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A, B, C \in V, \quad B \neq S, \quad C \neq S \\ \sigma \in \Sigma \end{array}$$

Also allowed is the rule $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ (S is start)

Theorem 2.8 Any CFG can be put into CNF

Proof Sketch:

1. Do we really need $A \rightarrow \epsilon$?

We can, for each rule like this

$B \rightarrow \sim A \dots$ add the rule

$B \rightarrow \sim \dots$

↑ its as if A went to ϵ within the rule.

The only " $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ " rule we can't simulate by replacing A with ϵ is all our rules (possibly doubling the number of rules)

is $S \rightarrow \epsilon$, and we can keep that rule.

2. Do we need to have rules like

$A \rightarrow B$?

$B \rightarrow \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup$ add $A \rightarrow \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup \sqcup$
 $B \rightarrow \circ \circ \circ \circ$ $A \rightarrow \circ \circ \circ \circ$

3. Do we need to have rules like

$A \rightarrow \underbrace{BCD \dots ZX}_{\text{can be terminals or variables.}}^{X_1}$

$\Rightarrow A \rightarrow BX_1$

$X_1 \rightarrow CX_2$

$X_2 \rightarrow DX_3$

\vdots
 $X_n \rightarrow ZX$



You can convert any CFG into CNF.

We'll do a small one here:

$$\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow AXA \mid Xbb \\ X \rightarrow Xc \mid \epsilon \\ A \rightarrow bA \mid \epsilon \end{array} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid AX_A \mid XA \mid \\ AX \mid AA \mid XB_b \mid \\ \underline{BB} \end{array}$$

$$B_b \rightarrow BB$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

$$X_A \rightarrow XA$$

$$X \rightarrow X\underline{C} \mid c$$

$$C \rightarrow c$$

$$A \rightarrow BA \mid b$$

$$\underline{B} \rightarrow \underline{b}$$

$$\underline{B}_b \rightarrow \underline{BB}$$

Do you have to know how to convert to CNF form for the test?

No, but you should know that any CFG can be converted to CNF.

Lets learn a CFG trick that might be on the test ...

$$\{ a^n \cdot b^m \mid 3n = 2m + 1 \}$$

Homework Problems:

Give a CFG for each language below:

1. BalDelim = $\{ w \mid w \text{ is a string of delimiters } (,), [,], \{, \}, \text{ that are properly balanced} \}$.

2. $\{ a^n b^m \mid 2m = 3n + 1 \}$

3. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \#_a(w) = 2 \cdot \#_b(w) \}$

4. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R \}$

5. $\{ a^i b^j \mid i \neq j \quad i, j \geq 0 \}$

6. $\{ a^i b^j c^k \mid i \neq j \text{ or } j \neq k \}$

4. $\{ w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R \}$

$S \rightarrow aSa \mid bSb \mid a \mid b \mid \varepsilon$

1. $(\{ \}) []$

Elaine Rich's questions

1. Briefly describe these languages:

a) $(b+ba)(b+a)^*(ab+b)$

b) $((a^*b^*)^*ab) + ((a^*b^*)^*ba)(b+a)^*$

2. r.e.s for: $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$

a) $\forall \underline{a}$ is immediately followed by \underline{b}

b) does not end in ba

c) (is nonsense)

$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$

d) binary encodings, no leading 0's, of ints divisible by 4.

e) " " that are powers of 4.

g) has 001 as substring.

