Divide & Conquer Algorithmic Approach

- Recall: Mergesort is the exemplar
- Recall : Under certain circumstances, the Master Theorem helps us analyze these algorithms.

Divide to Conquer Paradigm 1. Divide the input into smaller subproblems 2. Conquer the subproblems, using recursion 3. Combine The solutions of subproblems into solution for original problem.

Problem: (ounting Inversions Input: array A of n distuct integers. Output: the number of inversions of A 31542

order

Inversions are: 1,3 2,3 2,5 2,4 4,5 #inversions = 5 Why are we interested? - recommendation algorithms Brute Force algorithm to count inversions: BF_CountInversions (array A) 1* Output = number of pairs of elements 1* that are out of order in A numInv = 0 for i=1 to n-1 do for i= i+1 to n do if ACIYACII 7 ... 3 num Inv ++ return numInv.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2}$



Marge-and Count-Split Triversions
$$(C, D)$$

At C and D are sorted lists of length $\frac{n}{2}$
At Sum, $\forall d \in D$, # elements $c \in C$ where
At $d < c$, and output B, contents of
At C and D in sorted order
At C and D in sorted order
At Simplifying assumption: $|C| = |D|$.
 $i = 1$ $j = 1$ split $Inv = 0$
 $fr C [i] < D [j]$
 $B [K] = C [i]$
 $i = i + 1$
 $i = i + 1$

by case 2 of MT, Mergesort-with Investim Merge Sort_and_Count_Inversions (A) country runs in O(n log n) It A is an array of n integers 1* simplifying assumption: n is even \star 13254876 for you to do 13 25 13 25. 30 70 25 2 3 5 Running Time?

Strassen's Matrix Multiplication





Illustrating with 2×2 matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ae+bg & af+bh \\ ce+ag & cf+dh \end{pmatrix}$



Veturn Z.

Running time? $\Theta(n^3)$

else

$$A, B, C, D = \text{submatrices of } X, \text{ as above}$$

 $E, F, G, H = \text{submatrices of } Y, \text{ as above}$
recursively compute the elight matrix
products as above
teturn the result
 $n^2 \in O(n^{32})$
 $T(n) = 8T(\frac{n}{2}) + (n^2)$
Master Thm.: $n^2 \in O(n^{100-8})$... $T(n) \in \Theta(n^3)$
 n^3
So why is it interesting?
 $A = B_i$
 $C_i = B$
 $A = H$
 $C = D$
 $A = H$
 $C = D(n^{100-8})$
 $A = A = B = B$
 $C = D(n^{100-8})$
 $A = A = B = B$
 $C = A = B = B$

Strassen's crazy idea: $\exists 8 \quad \frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2} - sized matrix products to compute,$ but they are not independent... can we do fewer matrix mults and get all 8

Submatrices?

$$P_{1} = A \cdot (F - H)$$

$$P_{2} = (A + B) \cdot H$$

$$P_{3} = (C + D) \cdot E$$

$$P_{4} = D \cdot (G - E)$$

$$P_{3} = A \cdot (F - H)$$

$$P_{3} = (A + B) \cdot H$$

$$P_{4} = A \cdot (F - H)$$

$$P_{5} = (A + D) \cdot (E + F)$$

$$P_{7} = (A - C) \cdot (E + F)$$



 $AF+BH = P_1 + P_2$

 $CE+DG = P_3 + P_4$

 $CF + DH = P_1 + P_5 - P_3 - P_7$

Running time of Strassen's Matrix Mult
$$T_{0}^{(n)}$$
.
Algorithm
which uses many Matrix additions
and 7 matrix mults $(\frac{h}{2} \times \frac{h}{2})$
as a function of n , for two nxn matrices:
 $T(n) = 7T(\frac{h}{2}) + n^{2}$

$$n^{2} \in \left(n^{\log_{2} 7}\right)$$

ie $n^{2} \in O\left(n^{2,8074-5}\right)$

Hence by case \bot of Master Theorem, Strassen's Matrix Mult algorithm runs in $\Theta(n^{2.8074})$



