CSCI 311 Functional Prototype Peer Evaluation

Team being evaluated:

Evaluate the given team using the following criteria:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 1 | Location of issues |
| **Links and Navigation** | No broken links. All navigation consistent and no dead ends. | Few broken links, and navigation is fairly consistent | Navigation inconsistent and many broken links |  |
| **Styling (font, layout)** | Consistent styling and layout on all pages. Styling in keeping with site theme. | Some inconsistent styling, and or style doesn’t match site theme. | Inconsistent styling, and style doesn’t match site theme. |  |
| **Clarity (visual clarity and grouping)** | Site is clearly laid out, good use of white space and grouping | Site is fairly clearly laid out but could use better white space and grouping | Site is poorly laid out, with weak grouping. |  |
| **Structure (underlying html structure)** | All pages use good logical structure, including headings, paragraphs, sections, and other elements. | All or most pages use some logical structure, but some elements are not correctly used or missing | Pages lacks logical structure. |  |

Be sure to clearly identify in the Location of Issues column where ALL the problems you identify are.

Other Feedback: